

Colin Miller, 315 S. Plymouth Court, Chicago, IL 60604

Phone: (917) 617-4566; e-mail: 7millerc@jmls.edu

Blog Editor, **EvidenceProf Blog** (<http://lawprofessors.typepad.com/evidenceprof>)

EXPERIENCE:

The John Marshall Law School, Chicago, IL

Associate Professor of Law

2009-present

Assistant Professor of Law

June 2007-2009

Teach Evidence, Civil Procedure, and Criminal Procedure.

New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Brooklyn, NY

April 2005-June 2007

Appellate Court Attorney

Reviewed Supreme Court records in civil and criminal cases and prepared reports and proposed opinions for the Justices on whether decisions should be affirmed or reversed.

Saltman & Stevens, P.C., Washington, D.C.

August 2003-April 2005

Litigation Associate

Prepared cases involving contract, government contract, appellate, criminal, property, and environmental law.

PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

• *Impeachable Offenses?: Why Civil Parties in Quasi-Criminal Cases Should be Treated Like Criminal Defendants Under the Felony Impeachment Rule*, 36 PEPP. L. REV. 997 (2009).

• *A Public Privilege*, 118 YALE L.J. POCKET PART 166 (2009).

• *Even Better than the Real Thing: How Courts Have Been Anything But Liberal in Finding Genuine Questions Raised as to the Authenticity of Originals Under Rule 1003*, 68 MD. L. REV. 160 (2008).

• *Ordeal By Innocence: Why There Should Be a Wrongful Incarceration/Execution Exception to Attorney-Client Confidentiality*, 102 NW. U. L. REV. COLLOQUY 391 (2008).

• *“Manifest” Destiny?: How Some Courts Have Fallaciously Come To Require A Greater Showing Of Congressional Intent For Jurisdictional Exhaustion Than They Require For Preemption*, 2008 BYU L. REV. 169 (2008).

• *The Best Offense is a Good Defense: Why Defendants’ Nolo Contendere Pleas Should Be Inadmissible Against Them When They Become Civil Plaintiffs*, 75 U. CIN. L. REV. 725 (2006).

• *“Caveat Prosecutor”: Where Courts Went Wrong in Applying Robertson’s Two-Tiered Analysis to “Plea Bargaining” and How to Correct Their Mistakes*, 32 NEW ENG. J. ON CRIM. & CIV. CONFINEMENT 209 (2006).

• *A Shock to the System: Analyzing The Conflict Among Courts Over Whether and When Excited Utterances May Follow Subsequent Startling Occurrences In Rape And Sexual Assault Cases*, 12 WM. & MARY J. WOMEN & L. 49 (2005).

- *Banishment From Within and Without: Analyzing Indigenous Sentencing Under International Human Rights Standards*, 80 N.D. L. REV. 253 (2004).
- *A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing: Wolf v. Ashcroft and the Constitutionality of Using the MPAA Ratings to Censor Films in Prison*, 6 VAND. J. ENT. L. & PRAC. 265 (2004).
- *Inadmissible but Material? Resolving the Circuit Split After Wood*, International Commentary on Evidence (2004), at <http://www.bepress.com/ice/vol1/iss2/art1/>.
- *A Death By Any Other Name: The Federal Government's Inconsistent Treatment of Drugs Used in Lethal Injections and Physician-Assisted Suicide*, 17 J.L. & HEALTH 217 (2002-03).
- *Escape from New York: Analyzing the State's Relative Interests in Proscribing the Withdrawal of Life Support and Physician Assisted Suicide*, 11 WM. & MARY BILL RTS. J. 779 (2003).
- *Life and Death Decisions*, presented at the Benjamin Rush Symposium, Spring 2002.

EDUCATION

William and Mary School of Law, Williamsburg, Virginia
 J.D., May 2003, G.P.A. 3.8; Class Rank: #2/168; Order of the Coif

- Honors:**
- William & Mary National Moot Court Team
 - **William and Mary Law Review**, Editor
 - William and Mary Bill of Rights Journal, Senior Articles Editor

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia
 B.A. with Distinction, Political and Social Thought, May 1999

- Honors:**
- Echols Scholar